

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,622	TAKATO, HIDEYASU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) Henry J. Daley.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative authorized the cancellation of non-elected claims 1 and 15-16. Applicant's representative also authorized the placing of claim 3 in independent form as well as the change to claims 2 and 3 concerning the spacings between the lens units which change was made at the request of the examiner to provide clarity to these claims. The changes to claims 4 and 6-14 were discussed and agreed upon to correct the dependency of these claims based upon the cancellation of claim 1 and to provide additional clarity to claim 13. .